

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,724	12/06/2005	Yoshiaki Suzuki	P26894	8295
	7590 04/09/200 I & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLANI	O CLARKE PLACE		ZACHARIA, RAMSEY E	
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/525,724	SUZUKI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ramsey Zacharia	1794		

	Railisey Zacilalia	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 \(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	W 07 OFD 44 07	Fig. 1	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMAZINATION. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		lucina or cimplifuina ti	o inques for
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding named or initially reje	otod oldiirio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (OTOL -324)
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (101-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owable il subilitted ill a separate, i	intery med amendmen	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	7 will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wil	be entered and an e	colanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 7-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		*	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

/Ramsey Zacharia/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Whitmore teach that their fibrin glue composition may be used to anchor dural patches and Suzuki teach a dural patch comprising ePTFE subjected to in beam irradiation. Since the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use has been held to support a prima facie obviousness determination (see MPEP 2144.07), it would be obvious to use the dural patch of Suzuki as the patch cited in Whitmore. Moreover, since Suzuki show that irradiation alters the ePTFE such that cell attachment appears while no cell attachability is seen with untreated ePTFE (see page 10 of Suzuki), one skilled in the art would expect this enhanced attachability to also be exhibited with regard to biological adhesive. Regarding the purported showing of unexpected results, it is noted that the embodiments in the specification (which employ e.g., a particular polymeric material exposed to particular irradiation recimines) are not commensurate in scope with the invention as claimed.